## **MSOE SE-3821 Requirements Group Presentation Rubric**

Date and Time:	Wednesday 9 November 2005 9:00 AM
Team:	I Just Want to Immobilize Your Hand
Advisor:	Dr. Durant
Evaluator:	Dr. Durant

						Name:	John	Paul	George H.	Ringo `	Yoko	George M.
					Team or					Member 4		
Group	Beginning - 1 One main speaker; members	Developing - 2	Accomplished - 3 All group members have	Exemplary - 4 Well-balanced participation	Individual?	Weight 10%	Score 2	Score 2	Score 2	Score 2	Score 2	Score 2
Balance and	show annoyance or	participate; members are civil	0 1	by all group members;		10 /0	2	2		2	2	2
Rapport	dissatisfaction with other	but uncoordinated or	members are comfortable	members are in sync with								
парроп	members	awkward	with each other	each other	Team							
Comfort,	Speech and physical	Reasonably fluid, but	Fluid, few nervous	Confidence-inspiring comfort,		5%	1.2	2.3	4	3.5	1.2	3.8
Delivery, and	mannerisms clearly indicate	noticeably nervous, fidgeting,	mannerisms, little to no	articulation, and flow								
Articulation	nervousness or extreme	some distracting speech	fidgeting									
	discomfort	mannerisms			Individual							
Audience	Mostly unaware of audience	Minimal eye contact	Presence and direction	Audience is involved (at least		5%	1.2	2.3	4	3.5	3.2	3.8
Awareness			shown towards audience	mentally) in presentation	la dividual							
Background,	Minimal background	Some background given but	Background adequate, goals	In-depth, appropriate	Individual	10%	3	3	3	3	3	3
Goals, and	pertaining to project point-of-	significant pieces missing,	and work context sufficient to	information leads audience		10 /6	3	3	3	3	3	3
	view presented, goals and	goals and context incomplete		into the rest of the								
Work Comox	context mostly incomplete	g		presentation	Team							
Use Case(s)	Do not reflect primary	Appropriate in scope, but	Purpose of the UC is clear	UCs are clear and present a		15%	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
	business events	superficial in detail, not	and there is sufficient detail to									
		providing enough information		without specifying design.								
		for design. Or, the UCs	key items are missing. UCs									
		specify significant design	cover most major									
		constraints that are	functionality. Unjustified									
		unjustified.	design constraints are kept to a minimum.									
			a minimum.		Team							
Functional	Severely incomplete in detail	Approach sufficient coverage,	Rs provide a sound basis for	Rs are traceable to UCs or	Tourn	15%	3	3	3	3	3	3
and Non-	and coverage	but are mostly ambiguous or	design, but traceability is not	other process artifacts; Rs								
functional Rs	_	key facts are not mentioned	covered well. Only a small	cover key functionality and								
			amount of ambiguity is	qualities per the goals and								
			present	UCs. They are unambiguous.	_							
<u> </u>	N			<u> </u>	Team	400/				0.5		0.0
Questions or	No consideration of another point-of-view	Response shows lack of understanding	Adequate response given	Response to another point-of- view shows insight		10%	4	1	3	3.5	2	2.2
Anticipation of	point-or-view	understanding		view snows insigni	Individual							
Information	Inaccurate information given	Most information accurate	Information accurate	Accurate, in-depth	marviadai	10%	2.2	2.3	1	3	2.2	3.6
Quality				information enhances					·			
,				presentation	Individual							
Postmortem	Missing or superficial	Some useful comments on	The report makes good	The report is introspective,		10%	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Report		the process are provided, but	observations of the process	considers both the team's								
		the key points of the	aspects, but is not sufficiently	•								
		postmortem are missed.	introspective and focused on	includes usable insight into								
			the project goals and	what worked and did not	Toom							
Amount of	< 55% of nominal or cut off	< 65% or cut off	purpose. < 75% or rushed at end	work. 75-100%	Team	10%	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
Time	abruptly	< 00 /0 Of Cut Off	< 7570 Of Tubileu at ellu	7.5-100/0	Team	1076	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
THIC	abrapay				roam	100%	2.63	2.45	2.69	2.89	2.53	2.85
	1				1							

http://www.scarborough.k12.me.us/middle/contribute/quest/grouprbr.html

http://westy.jtwn.k12.pa.us/users/mjr/rubrics.html http://irs.ed.uiuc.edu/Students/abeling/407/group\_presentation\_rubric.html

http://www.ncrel.org/mands/FERMI/prairie/9prairie/9rub1.html

SE-3821 final report rubric, SE-3821 final report specification Original material by Dr. E. Durant