

EE-3220 LABORATORY

Week 8

DFT Windowing

Goal – Compare windows for DFT spectral analysis

Materials - Laptop computer with MATLAB

Overview:

The DFT assumes that a description of exactly one period is input. If, say, 1.25 periods are presented, the algorithm analyzes the waveform that progresses for 1.25 of the original period and then jumps to the beginning of the real period. This can result in an incorrect spectrum given the underlying signal. Often instruments are operated in a manner where the exact period is not known. In addition to generating the “wrong” spectrum, adjacent spectral components are often obscured by the “sidebands” created by what might be called phase modulation by communications engineers. “Windowing,” or multiplying the time samples by another time function (thus convolving the 2 spectra), helps to minimize the effects at the edges of the function. However, the spectrum is further corrupted. Often this is desirable, however, because it can serve to separate two close-by spectral components so they can be observed.

Window design is its own field of study with many articles written about it. Key tradeoffs between various window types, along with their typical units, are:

- Height of the major lobe (relative to rectangular window (*i.e.*, just limiting the signal to N samples), linear units). The major lobe is the frequency image, perhaps spanning several frequency samples, caused by a single, pure frequency in the input.
- Highest sidelobe in dB (-13 to -57 for some common windows; a parameter in some windows, such as the Chebyshev). A sidelobe is separated from the main lobe by a null.
- Bandwidth. One common definition is the width at which the magnitude response is 3 dB down from the maximum. This is at a minimum for the rectangular window, but the rectangular window has very poor roll-off and side lobe height.
- Roll-off: how quickly the sidebands decay in dB/octave.

Your task:

Consider the signal given by:

```
n=0:N-1;  
c1 = 1.00*cos(0.48*pi*n);  
c2 = 0.01*cos(0.52*pi*n);  
x = c1 + c2;  
w_pi = n/N*2; % digital frequency with pi divided out
```

N is not given. You will experiment with different values of N .

Component c_2 has a voltage that is only 1% of c_1 's, thus its power is 0.01% of c_2 's, or -40 dB relative to c_2 . This is a difficult detection problem (and we haven't even added noise yet!): the components are close in frequency and vary greatly in power, making it likely that c_2 will get buried in one of c_1 's sidelobes.

Consult MATLAB's Signal Processing Toolbox documentation to learn about the available window types. Type **doc** to open the documentation tool, then browse through the contents to Signal Processing

Toolbox | Spectral Analysis | Windows | Examples and How To. After scrolling to the top, read this article, focusing on the key points (what information must be input to various window generation functions, how the window spectra change as more samples are added). You may experiment with the GUI tools described, but they are not necessary for this lab.

You will use 4 window types. You may add a couple more if desired.

1. Rectangular (unwindowed)
2. Hamming, periodic (note that you should specify 'periodic' for any window that supports it; **doc hamming** to learn why)
3. Your choice #1: you may use any of the ones available in MATLAB as documented in the Windows article you read above. There are no selection criteria. You might choose one that looks interesting to you, or one that you suspect might work well for this problem. Some other common windows you might want to consider are the Blackman and Hann windows. The Chebyshev window is an interesting choice.
4. Your choice #2.

Part 1: Compare spectra for all your windows using 2 or 3 **favorable** values of N , including 50 and either 100 or 150.

1. *Include your magnitude spectra for your values of N .*
2. *What makes these values of N "favorable"?*
3. *What window or windows perform well in this situation? Justify your response with reference to features in your spectra.*
4. *What is the minimum favorable N that you're comfortable using for this task. Why?*

Organize your plots for easy comparison and label them accordingly (xlabel, ylabel, title; include both quantities and units). Put spectra in **subplots** to avoid an excessive profusion of figures. Use a dB scale and radians/sample ($\times \pi$) as your units. The following snippet should be helpful.

```
subplot(4,1,2)
plot(w_pi, 20*log10(abs(fft(x.*hamming(N, 'periodic')))), 'b-o')
title(sprintf('Hamming, periodic, N=%g', N))
```

Part 2: Compare spectra for all your windows using 3 or 4 **unfavorable** values of N , selected from the list: 23, 61, 93, 138, 191, 277. Submit values that help you answer the questions below; you will have to experiment. Neither the 3 lowest nor the 3 highest values will reveal all key performance indicators.

5. *Include your magnitude spectra for your values of N .*
6. *Explain why these numbers are unfavorable. Recall that the DFT samples the DTFT at all integer multiples of $2\pi/N$.*
7. *Discuss the pros and cons of using a larger N in this context.*
8. *What window or windows perform well in this situation? Justify your response with reference to features in your spectra.*
9. *What is the minimum unfavorable N that you're comfortable using for this task. Why?*
10. *Include all of your MATLAB code as an appendix.*