

SE-4920: Lectures 10–11

Public key algorithms

- Reading
 - Chapter 6 (pp. 147–160, 163–170)
- Today's Outcomes
 - Perform modular arithmetic (addition, multiplication, exponentiation)
 - Apply basic theory of modular arithmetic (Totient function, Euler's theorem, ...)
 - Execute and apply the RSA algorithm for encryption and digital signatures
 - Execute and apply the Diffie-Hellman algorithm for establishing a shared secret

1

Modular arithmetic


- "mod n" arithmetic
 - Like normal arithmetic, but final result is taken mod n
- mod 6 addition
 - $2 + 3 = 5$
 - $4 + 5 = 3$
 - $4 + 2 = 0$

2

Modular arithmetic: additive inverse

- In regular arithmetic, $(a) + (-a) = 0$
 - $-a$ is the additive inverse of a
- mod 6 additive inverses
 - $2 + 4 = 0$, therefore $-2 = 4$
 - $x + 2 + 4 = x$


3



Modular arithmetic: multiplication

- Consider mod 10 multiplication
 - $2 \cdot 3 = 6$
 - $2 \cdot 7 = 14 = 4 \bmod 10$
 - $3 \cdot \{0, 1, \dots, 9\} = \{0, 3, 9, 2, 5, 8, 1, 4, 7\}$
 - $8 \cdot \{0, 1, \dots, 9\} = \{0, 8, 6, 4, 2, 0, 8, 6, 4\}$
- Multiplying by 3 is reversible, but 8 isn't
 - Big difference from integers, reals, rationals, etc.
 - 3 has a multiplicative inverse, but 8 doesn't
 - Finding the multiplicative inverse is easy
 - But we won't cover it here
 - Euclid's algorithm in chapter 7
 - $3^{-1} = 7$
 - $x \cdot 3 \cdot 7 = (x \cdot 21) \bmod 10 = ((x \bmod 10) \cdot (21 \bmod 10)) \bmod 10 = x \bmod 10$


4



Modular arithmetic: multiplicative inverses

- When does a multiplicative inverse exist?
 - When the multiplier, x , is relatively prime to the number of elements, n .
 - $\text{GCD}(x, n) = 1$
 - For $n = 10$,
 - $x = \{1, 3, 7, 9\}$ are the only values that give a GCD of 1
 - Only multipliers with multiplicative inverses: $\{1, 7, 3, 9\}$
 - How many numbers less than n are relatively prime to n ?
 - The answer is Euler's totient function, $\phi(n)$
 - For a prime, $\phi(p) = p - 1$
 - For a product of 2 primes, $\phi(pq) = pq - p - q + 1 = (p-1)(q-1)$
 - These are the 2 relevant cases for chapter 6


5



Modular arithmetic: exponentiation

- $3^7 = 2187 = 7 \bmod 10$
- Taking remainder earlier gives same result
 - $3^7 = 3^3 3^4 = 7 \cdot 1 = 7$
- Construct x^y table, with exponents from 1 to 12
 - Note that $x^n = x^{n+4} \bmod 10$
 - Fact: $x^y \bmod n = x^{y \bmod \phi(n)} \bmod n$
 - For n prime or product of distinct primes (no p^2)
 - If $y = 1 \bmod \phi(n)$
 - $x^y = x \bmod n$ (useful fact for RSA algorithm)


6



RSA

- Inventors Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman
- Public key algorithm
- Variable key length, commonly 512 b
- Variable block size
 - Plaintext shorter than key
 - Ciphertext same length as key
- Much slower than DES and most secret key algorithms
 - Commonly used for exchanging a secret key (*e.g.*, for DES)
 - With no pre-shared secret


7



RSA algorithm: Key generation

- Choose 2 large, secret, primes, p and q
 - Around 256 bits each
- $n = pq$
 - Given n , it is impractical to find p and q
- Public key $\langle e, n \rangle$
 - Choose e (it can be small) that is relatively prime to $\phi(n)$
 - You know $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$
 - But others would need to do a brute force search
- Private key $\langle d, n \rangle$
 - Find $d = e^{-1} \bmod \phi(n)$
 - Easy only if you know $\phi(n)$


8



RSA algorithm: encryption / decryption

- Encrypt
 - $c = m^e \bmod n$ (where $m < n$)
- Decrypt
 - $c^d = m^{de} \bmod n = m^1 \bmod n$
 - Since $de = 1 \bmod \phi(n)$
- Sign
 - $s = m^d \bmod n$
- Verify
 - $s^e \bmod n = m^{de} \bmod n = m^1 \bmod n$


9



RSA example

- $p = 11, q = 17$
- $e = 3$ (verify compatible with $\phi(n)$)
- $d = 107$
 - Easy to find with Euclid's algorithm (chapter 7)
- $m = 127$
- Calculate c (172)
- Calculate m from c (indeed 127)
 - How can this be done efficiently?
 - Do we really need to calculate 172^{107} ???!


10



Generating RSA keys

- Can be expensive (a few minutes)
 - But must be feasible
- Choose p and q randomly and test for primality
 - Can get *very* high probability without much work
 - The odds are against us on any 1 number
 - Probability of random n being prime is about $1/(\ln n)$
 - Linear increase in $\ln n$ with length of prime
 - About 1/21 for numbers around 2^{30}
 - About 1/210 for numbers around 2^{300}

11



Generating RSA keys: primality tests

- Fermat's Little Theorem
 - For $a < p$, $a^{p-1} = 1 \pmod p$
 - It can happen for non-primes for some a 's, but rare
 - About 1 in 10^{13} chance for hundred-digit p
 - So, try a few a 's and make sure you get 1 for all
 - Carmichael numbers (the main type of pseudoprime) will give 1 for all a 's, but they are not prime
 - Only 585,355 Carmichael numbers less than 10^{17}
- There are even better algorithms
 - 2006 standard is Miller and Rabin's algorithm (§6.3.4.2.1)

12

RSA: Choosing d and e

- $\text{GCD}((p-1)(q-1), e) = 1$
- Select e at random and test for relative primality
- Or, Choose e and then select p and q for relative primality
 - Certain choices of e will make public key computations easier without sacrificing security

13

RSA: 3 is the smallest possible e


- 2 does not work since $(p-1)(q-1)$ is even
- Encryption only needs 2 multiplies!
- Weaknesses (can work around)
 - Short message, $c = m^3 < n$, take $\sqrt[3]{c}$
 - Pad short messages, usually with random number
 - Encrypting to 3 recipients
 - If you know the 3 keys (they are public!) and ciphertext, can compute $m^3 \bmod n_1 n_2 n_3$
 - Method in chapter 7 (Chinese Remainder Theorem)
 - Simple cube root
 - Padding each message uniquely (even with user ID) will solve this problem
- Need $\text{GCD}((p-1)(q-1), 3) = 1$
 - $\text{GCD}(p-1, 3) = 1$ and $\text{GCD}(q-1, 3) = 1$
 - p and q must be 2 mod 3
 - 1 would defeat GCD
 - 0 would be non-prime

14

RSA: 65537 is another common e

- $65537 = 2^{16} + 1$
 - Largest known Fermat prime ($2^{2^n} + 1$)
 - Total of 17 multiplies to exponentiate
 - Expect about 384 multiplies for random acceptable 256-bit e
 - And (on the order of) that many integer divisions
- Avoids most problems with 3


15



PKCS: Public-Key Cryptography Standard

- Standards including message formats for applying RSA for encryption and signing
- Random padding for encryption
 - Built-in workarounds to problems with $e = 3$
- Signing
 - Done on message digest / hash
 - Generally too expensive for entire message
 - Digest type (hash function) is part of signed quantity,
 - so attacker cannot attach your signature
 - to a different (fake) message
 - using a weaker hash (e.g., a compromised one)


16



Diffie-Hellman

- Oldest PK system still in use
- Agree on a shared, secret key using only public communication channels
 - Does not provide authentication

17



Diffie-Hellman

- Agree on p (large prime)
- Agree on $g < p$
 - g must be a "primitive root" of p
 - $g^1 \dots g^{p-1}$ are a permutation of $1 \dots p-1$
 - There are $\phi(\phi(p)) = \phi(p-1)$ choices
 - 2 or 3 often works
 - No direct way to calculate
 - But there are more efficient methods than searching all primes

18



Diffie-Hellman

- Alice picks S_A and Bob picks S_B at random (512 bits)
- Alice computes T_A and Bob computes T_B
- Ts are exchanged $T_A = g^{S_A} \bmod p$
- Compute shared secret, K $T_B = g^{S_B} \bmod p$
- Everyone knows the Ts
 - Not enough to compute K
 - $T \rightarrow S$ is the "discrete logarithm problem", and mathematicians haven't solved it

$$K = T_B^{S_A} \bmod p = T_A^{S_B} \bmod p = g^{S_A S_B}$$

19



Man-in-the-Middle Attack

- Diffie-Hellman has no authentication
- An active attacker between Alice and Bob
 - who can intercept and rewrite the communication
 - could share a key with each of them
 - and decrypt/re-encrypt the traffic in each direction
- Still secure against passive attack

20
