MSOE CS-421 Term Project Presentation Rubric

Date and Time:	
Topic:	
Evaluator:	

					Name:					
					Team or	Member 1 Member 2 Member			Member 3	
	Beginning - 1	Developing - 2	Accomplished - 3	Exemplary - 4	individual?	Weight	Score	Score	Score	
Comfort,	Speech and physical	Reasonably fluid, but	Fluid, few nervous	Confidence-inspiring comfort,		5%				
Delivery, and	mannerisms clearly indicate	noticeably nervous, fidgeting,	mannerisms, little to no	articulation, and flow						
Articulation	nervousness or extreme	some distracting speech	fidgeting							
	discomfort	mannerisms			Individual					
Audience	Mostly unaware of audience	Minimal eye contact	Presence and direction	Audience is involved (at least		5%				
Awareness			shown towards audience	mentally) in presentation						
					Individual					
Introduction	Non-existent or rambling	Insufficient or confusing	Factual, clear overview	Brief overview, good		5%		0	0	
	overview	overview		foreshadowing of main point	Team					
Design	Minimal design presented	Some significant pieces of	Design presentation	Easy to understand		15%		0	0	
Overview		design missing or not clearly	adequate, but could be	presentation of design with						
		explained	organized better	sufficient depth to help class						
				understand what was done						
					Team					
Summary of	Minimal or missing	Overview lacking sufficient	Key technologies, process	Learnings distilled and		15%		0	0	
what was		depth for other members of	etc., items present with some	presented with apparent						
learned		class	detail	introspection and a "big						
				picture" view	Team					
Demo.	Major technical difficulties or	Minor technical difficulties, or	Main features demonstrated	Demonstration flows well with		20%		0	0	
	missing	not nearly sufficient to show	with few or no technical	rest of presentation, smoothly						
		the audience what you did	difficulties	extolling main features						
					Team					
	No consideration of another	Response shows lack of	Adequate response given	Response to another point-of-		5%				
Anticipation	point-of-view	understanding		view shows insight						
of					Individual					
Visuals	Very weak visual component	Some diagrams, etc., but	Adequate visuals/props, but	Visually effective, uses		10%		0	0	
		poorly planned	not very interesting	medium well	Team					
Evaluation by						10%		0	0	
members of										
the class					Team					
Evaluation by						5%		0	0	
guests					Team					
Amount of	< 55% of nominal or cut off	< 65% or cut off	< 75% or rushed at end	75-100%		5%		0	0	
Time	abruptly				Team					
	1					100%	0.00	0.00	0.00	

References

ttp://www.sarborough.k12.me.us/middle/contribute/quest/grouprbr.html ttp://westy.jtwn.k12.pa.us/users/mij/rubrics.html ttp://irs.ed.uiuc.edu/Students/abeling/407/group_presentation_rubric.html

riginal material by Dr. E. Durant

Notes