## **MSOE CS-321 Individual Presentation Rubric**

| Date:      |  |
|------------|--|
| Evaluator: |  |
| Topic:     |  |
| Presenter: |  |

|   | Beginning - 1  | Developing - 2   | Accomplished - 3  | Exemplary - 4  | Weight | Score |
|---|--|--|---|--|--------|-------|
| Comfort,<br>Delivery, and<br>Articulation | Speech and physical<br>mannerisms clearly indicate<br>nervousness or extreme<br>discomfort | Reasonably fluid, but<br>noticeably nervous, fidgeting,<br>some distracting speech<br>mannerisms | Fluid, few nervous<br>mannerisms, little to no<br>fidgeting | Confidence-inspiring comfort, articulation, and flow       | 5%     |       |
| Audience<br>Awareness                     | Mostly unaware of audience   | Minimal eye contact  | Presence and direction<br>shown towards audience            | Audience is involved (at least mentally) in presentation   | 5%     |       |
| Introduction                              | Non-existent or rambling overview  | Insufficient or confusing<br>overview  | Factual, clear overview                                     | Brief overview, good<br>foreshadowing of main point        | 10%    |       |
| Background<br>and Context                 | Minimal background<br>presented  | Some background given but significant pieces missing   | Background adequate but<br>lacks flow                       | Appropriately deep<br>background information               | 5%     |       |
|   | No consideration of another point-of-view  | Response shows lack of<br>understanding  | Adequate response given                                     | Response to another point-of-<br>view shows insight        | 10%    |       |
| Research                                  | Minimal or irrelevant  | One or perhaps two<br>essentially undigested<br>sources  | Multiple sources and basic comparative analysis             | Critical and pragmatic<br>analysis of multiple sources     | 15%    |       |
| Information<br>Quality                    | Inaccurate information given   | Most information accurate  | Information accurate  | Accurate, in-depth<br>information enhances<br>presentation | 10%    |       |
| "Take Home"<br>Message                    | Contradictory or ambiguous   | Acceptable message with<br>limited support and<br>integration                                    | Strong, logical support of<br>clear message                 | Thematic integration of clear message                      | 10%    |       |
| Visuals                                   | Very weak visual component   | Some diagrams, etc., but poorly planned  | Adequate visuals/props, but not very interesting            | Visually effective, uses medium well                       | 10%    |       |
| Amount of<br>Time                         | < 55% of nominal or cut off abruptly   | < 65% or cut off   | < 75% or rushed at end                                      | 75-100%  | 5%     |       |
| Logistics                                 | Topic not identified beforehand  | Topic identified by<br>Wednesday of week 7   | Topic identified by<br>Wednesday of week 6                  | Topic identified by due date                               | 10%    |       |
| Use of Time /<br>Organized                | Some topics omitted or<br>excessively dwelled upon   | All topics covered at least minimally, none to excess  | Appropriate balance   | Balanced and engaging                                      | 5%     |       |
| References                                |  | •  |   |  | 100%   | 0.0   |

References http://www.scarborough.k12.me.us/middle/contribute/quest/grouprbr.html http://westy.jtwn.k12.pe.aus/users/mjr/ubrics.html http://trs.ed.uiuc.edu/Students/abeling/407/group\_presentation\_rubric.html http://www.ncreit.org/mands/FERMt/prairie/Bprairie/Brub1.html Original material by Dr. E. Durant

Notes